Wikifying Research

Michael Cysouw, MPI-EVA (cysouw@eva.mpg.de) Jeff Good, University at Buffalo (jcgood@buffalo.edu)

Taking back the web...

- The world wide web was originally developed in an academic context
- However, it has strayed from its origins
 - Commercial uses
 - Social uses
- How do we adapt it to turn it into a tool for cutting-edge research?

The social web

- Recent "Web 2.0" developments have begun to illustrate the web's potential as a place for collaboration and sharing
 - Wikipedia
 - Flickr

• • •

YouTube

The scholar's web

- But none of these are well designed to deal with issues crucial to academic research
 - Citation
 - Provenance
 - "Publication"
 - Contested interpretations

New knowledge

- Sites like Wikipedia have shown the Web's power for collecting and organizing textbook knowledge
- But support for pushing the boundaries of knowledge is lacking
- How do you bring together the required knowledge to write an article on a previously unknown topic?

Multiple Interpretations

- A unifying feature of much of the work to be discussed here is that it involves data that is subject to multiple interpretations
- Often, it is impossible to replicate a particular datum (historically bound, expensive data)
- In that case researchers interpret the datum again and again rather than trying to re-collect it

Wikifying research

- We use the term "wikifying" to be more provocative then precise
- Wikis give us a model of collaborative authorship which can
 - Can develop high-quality content
 - Track the process through which this content is developed
- How can we adapt this model in the creation of new knowledge?

Some desiderata

- Data and interpretations should be citable at fine levels of granularity
- The provenance of a data point or an interpretation should readily recoverable
- All collection of data and stages of interpretation should be recorded
- Capability of marking high-quality data and interpretations in a way equivalent to (peer-reviewed) publishing

Support for collaboration

- Various social models of collaboration should be supported
 - Laboratory style: Head researcher, different levels of assistants
 - Humanities style: Small number of collaborators, roughly equal levels
 - Wiki style: many participants, no central organisation

What's needed

- In order to wikify research, we need at least the following things
 - A conceptual data model
 - An implementation of the model
 - Content
 - Tools to exploit content using the model

The data model

- Data as a labeled graph
- Nodes in the graph are unique
- This model is borrowed from the W3C's Resource Description Framework (RDF)
- The ultimate *relational* database
- Graph-based models give flexibility for encoding cutting-edge knowledge



Implementation

- RDF has not yet been extensively adopted, but its use is growing
- It is a key component of the Semantic Web—also known as "Web 3.0"
- Tool support for working with RDF is increasing

Content

- But the data model on its own will not get us very far
- Ultimately, what we want is researchquality content encoded in the model
- This requires
 - Systems for managing unique identifiers
 - Systems for managing relations

Domain-specific content

- Some issues involving content will be domain-specific
- Notions like "SVO Language" are of interest primarily to linguists, for example
- Managing the encoding of such notions should be the job of the relevant academic community

General research

- But other issues are the domain of the whole academic community
 - Managing citation
 - Provenance
 - Publication
 - (Peer) Review

Moving forward

- Our goals here
 - Share ideas regarding content management using new technologies
 - Gain an understanding of the domainspecific and general challenges to wikifying research
 - Establish worthwhile areas of collaboration across disciplines

This workshop

- This workshop, being organized by linguists, has a linguistics bias
- However, we have deliberately tried to also bring together historians, biologists, and others here
- We believe that building the necessary infrastructure for linguistics is best served by first understanding what is needed for a wide range of disciplines

- Jürgen Renn, Urs Schoepflin, Simone Rieger
 MPI for the History of Science, Berlin
 "Scholarly workbench for the history of science"
- Sigrid Weigel, Falko Schmieder ZFL Berlin
 "The gap between semantics and data. What kind of facilities do Humanities need?"

• Lars Vogt, Peter Grobe FU Berlin

"Morph.D.Base and MorphOntology: What can a RDF ontology provide for a Morphological Description Database?"

 Janet Kelso, Robert Hoehndorf MPI-EVA Leipzig
"Collaborative knowledge management for biological data"

• Balthasar Bickel

University of Leipzig "Workflow management in large teams: beyond the hermit linguist"

• Martin Haspelmath

MPI-EVA Leipzig "Long-distance collaboration in the creation of cross-linguistic databases"

• Brian Fuchs

(Imperial College London) "Service sharing: a service composition toolkit for collaborative online research"

Laurent Romary MPDL, Berlin
Lee Gillam University of Surrey
"Linking open spaces and standards: the case of language codes and language description"

Sebastian Nordhoff

University of Amsterdam "Tracing building blocks of typological hypotheses through the grammar authoring system GALOES

 Arienne M. Dwyer University of Kansas
"Diverse Research Teams as Proto-Wikis: The challenges of multiple ontologies and metadata inconsistencies"

 Dafydd Gibbon
University of Bielefeld
"Fast food for thought: on truth, negotiation and prosody"